

Appendix D

Schedule sets out each stage of assessment through the plan making process for the Local Green Space sites where objections were received in the consultation with landowners in 2014

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
| NH/12-016 - The Rouses, Bassingbourn | Identified in 'Issues and Options 1' consultation as Site Option 39 – amber site. This site consists of an agricultural field and the house and garden at 60 Spring Lane. The site adjoins housing to the north, west and east. The site adjoins Ford Wood to the south a Woodland Trust area open to the public. A footpath runs along part of this southern boundary giving access to this rural edge of the village. Willmott Playing Field is to the north and there is to be an extension to the playing fields on land adjoining the site. The site is close to a number of Grade II listed buildings. Site is outside of village framework and therefore could not be considered as PVAA. According to Parish Council this site is valued as a green, tranquil area and footpath is well used. The site in their opinion would be suitable for additional recreation uses located as it is close to the existing recreation ground and to the local community. The site is currently identified as a potential site option for housing for inclusion in the draft Local Plan. The site meets the test for LGS. | Support: 15 Object: 1 Comment: 1 Only objection from Cambridgeshire County Council, who claims it does not meet all the tests. Agricultural field – not special to community. Site is highly sustainable for future development. Support for the option, including from Parish Council. Parish Council claim valued as a green, tranquil area and used for informal recreation. Site forms part of the setting of Listed Buildings and the Conservation Area. Site meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | Support LGS from 71 respondents. Open access including informal paths leading to Ford Wood, Willmott playing field and South End. Setting for listed buildings. Undisturbed meadow area. Rich in wildlife. Development of site would harm character and appearance of historic part of village. Surviving relic of village's manorial / field system. Site of Rowses manor house, recorded as vacant 1589. Valuable village amenity – used by many for informal recreation / meeting place / dog walkers. Green space near centre of village. Additional recreational land needed by Bassingbourn-cum-Kneesworth. | <u>Cambridgeshire County Council</u> Rep no 64932 Object Amend boundary – Not designate site except for area leased to Parish Council. Three tests in NPPF for LGS - site fails on second and third. Site is a featureless agricultural field. Council has not shown that site is 'demonstrably special' to the community or of particular local significance. Site is well located within village and has development potential. A LGS designation would prevent development which is inconsistent with national policy on enabling sustainable development. Part of the site leased to Bassingbourn PC as an extension to the playing fields. Benefits village and can be designated a LGS. | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12-022 - Camping Close Bourn | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. Used by walkers (especially the dog walking community) and has become an informal meeting place; it is also a very important flood plain for the village. Beautiful area of green space valued by community. | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <u>Saunderson & Co</u> Rep nos. 64899; 64900; 64901; 64910 Object Delete site if boundary not amended. | The site was originally proposed by Bourn Parish Council during the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013. The landowners are concerned that the extent of the LGS designation is contrary to the guidance in the NPPF. The land is already protected as it is within the |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| | | Meets the tests for LGS. | | | <p>Reasons for objection-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Boundary put forward by Parish Council is inaccurate and if designation is not removed it should be amended. 2. Scale of proposed site is unreasonable (contrary to Paragraph 77 of the NPPF) 3. Land does not meet criteria of Local Green Space designation in NPPG. 4. Land already protected as within conservation area and flood plain, curtilage of two listed buildings and has two rights of way (protected under Highway Act 1980) 5. Not sound consultation process regarding this proposal which renders it unsound. | <p>conservation area and in the flood plain. The owners consider that by having this designation on their land it could impact on the future uses they may wish to carry out affecting the economic viability of their farm. They are supportive of the LGS policy but have taken the opportunity during the consultation to submit a revised boundary for the site. If the boundary is not amended they have requested that the whole LGS designation be removed from their land.</p> <p>The main area of special character is the open area adjacent to Bourn Brook which is a well used local route for informal recreation. The wider open field objected to by the landowner has less special character. A smaller site would be more acceptable to the landowners who are supportive of the policy but not the scale of the original LGS proposed for their land. The Parish Council is supportive of the amendment.</p> <p>Recommendation: Amend the boundary of the Camping Close, Bourn LGS to include just the area adjacent to Bourn Brook. See Map 1 showing revised boundary.</p> |
| | | | | | <p><u>Individual respondent</u></p> <p>Rep 64904 Object Delete site if boundary not amended.</p> <p>Proposed designation for land does not comply with the NPPF and supporting NPPG and should be removed.</p> <p>Aware of submission by landowners of Camping Close. Fully support their objections and proposed revised boundary for LGS.</p> | |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| NH/12-025 - Land north of Jeavons Lane north of Monkfield Way Cambourne | This is an area of grassland with trees and a pond that is accessible to the public. Having a pond it has a value for wildlife. It is within the village and adds character. It meets the criteria for being a PVAA. | Support: 7 Object: 0 Comment: 0 | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>MCA Developments Limited</u></p> <p>Rep 64934 Object Do not designate –more appropriate as PVAA</p> <p>Extent of LGS designation for Cambourne is unsound for following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not 'positively prepared' as it seeks to replicate Green Belt function and prohibit development in sustainable locations; 2. Not 'effective' as applied extensively and not just to areas of 'particular local significance' ; 3. Not 'justified' as contact with landowners at an early stage in planning process to designate their land as LGS not been undertaken - consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties; 4. Not 'consistent with national policy' as proposed allocation does not meet LGS assessment criteria of paragraph 77 of NPPF or accord with paragraph 76 of NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives. | <p>The Council has not identified new PVAAs in preparing the current draft Local Plan. It is the Council's intension when it next reviews its planning policies to consider having one designation for protecting valued green spaces within a village. Therefore all existing PVAAs would be assessed to see if they will meet the test for LGS. This review could also form part of a neighbourhood plan-making process for local communities to decide within their local area.</p> <p>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</p> |
| NH/12-028 - Land East of Sterling Way, Cambourne | Triangular areas of open space north of the large area of informal open space within village framework of Upper Cambourne. It forms part of this larger green informal space separated only by a small road. It has trees planted within it and a piece of | Support: 6 Object: 0 Comment: 0 | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>MCA Developments Limited</u></p> <p>Rep 64937 Object Not designate as LGS – In order to be consistent with other village greens in</p> | The Council has not identified new PVAAs in preparing the current draft Local Plan. It is the Council's intension when it next reviews its planning policies to consider having one designation for protecting valued green spaces within a village. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---|---|---|-------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | public art. It meets the criteria for being a PVAA. | | | | <p>Cambourne should be PVAA</p> <p>Extent of LGS designation for Cambourne is unsound for following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not 'positively prepared' as seeks to replicate a Green Belt function and prohibit development in sustainable locations; 2. Not 'effective' as applied extensively and not just to areas of 'particular local significance' 3. Not 'justified' as contact with landowners at an early stage in planning process to designate their land as LGS has not been undertaken - consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties; 4. Not 'consistent with national policy' as proposed allocation does not meet LGS assessment criteria of paragraph 77 of NPPF or accord with paragraph 76 of NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives. | <p>Therefore all existing PVAAs would be assessed to see if they will meet the test for LGS. This review could also form part of a neighbourhood plan-making process for local communities to decide within their local area.</p> <p>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</p> |
| NH/12-029 - Land east of Sterling Way, north of Brace Dein Cambourne | This is a large area within the village framework of Upper Cambourne. Provides informal green space for Upper Cambourne having large green area plus play equipment and public art located on it. It meets the criteria for being a PVAA. | Support: 4 Object: 0 Comment: 0 | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>MCA Developments Limited</u></p> <p>Rep 64938 Object Do not designate as LGS To be consistent with other village greens in Cambourne should be designated as PVAA</p> <p>Extent of LGS designation for Cambourne is unsound for</p> | The Council has not identified new PVAAs in preparing the current draft Local Plan. It is the Council's intension when it next reviews its planning policies to consider having one designation for protecting valued green spaces within a village. Therefore all existing PVAAs would be assessed to see if they will meet the test for LGS. This review could also form part of a neighbourhood |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | <p>following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not 'positively prepared' as it seeks to replicate a Green Belt function and prohibit development in sustainable locations; 2. Not 'effective' as it has been applied extensively and not just to areas of 'particular local significance'; 3. Not 'justified' as contact with landowners at an early stage in planning process to designate their land as LGS has not been undertaken - consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties; 4. Not 'consistent with national policy' as proposed allocation does not meet LGS assessment criteria of paragraph 77 of NPPF or accord with paragraph 76 of NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives. | <p>plan-making process for local communities to decide within their local area.</p> <p>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</p> |
| NH/12-030 - Land north of School Lane, west of Woodfield Lane Cambourne | This site has the cricket pavilion and a children's play area and therefore it valued as a recreational area by the community. It is located next to an existing PVAA. Within village framework so could be considered as a PVAA. | Support: 6 Object: 0 Comment: 1 | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>MCA Developments Limited</u></p> <p>Rep 64939 Object Do not designate as LGS More appropriate to designate as PVAA</p> <p>Extent of LGS designation for Cambourne is unsound for following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not 'positively prepared' as it seeks to replicate a Green Belt function and prohibit development in | <p>The Council has not identified new PVAAs in preparing the current draft Local Plan. It is the Council's intension when it next reviews its planning policies to consider having one designation for protecting valued green spaces within a village. Therefore all existing PVAAs would be assessed to see if they will meet the test for LGS. This review could also form part of a neighbourhood plan-making process for local communities to decide within their local area.</p> |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|--|---|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | | | <p>sustainable locations;</p> <p>2. Not 'effective' as it has been applied extensively and not just to areas of 'particular local significance';</p> <p>3. Not 'justified' as contact with landowners at an early stage in planning process to designate their land as LGS has not been undertaken - consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties;</p> <p>4. Not 'consistent with national policy' as proposed allocation does not meet LGS assessment criteria of paragraph 77 of NPPF or accord with paragraph 76 of NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives.</p> | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12-035 - Large areas within and around the edge of village, Cambourne | Large areas particularly around Lower and Upper Cambourne - to the western and eastern extent of the village; thin strip alongside A428 and finger of green around northern roundabout areas entering the village. These are areas of green space which are integral to the masterplan of Cambourne. | <p>Support: 8 Object: 0 Comment: 6</p> <p>Wildlife Trust manage boundary green area in Cambourne as part of Section 106 agreement. Boundary of G16 slightly different so suggest amending area so same as their management area. Some revisions made to the boundary.</p> | Include in local plan as LGS | No representations | <p><u>MCA Developments Limited</u></p> <p>Rep 64944 Object Should designate smaller sites as LGS rather than have one large area. Also some areas are more appropriate as PVAA</p> <p>Extent of LGS designation for Cambourne is unsound for following reasons:</p> <p>1. Not 'positively prepared' as it seeks to replicate a Green Belt function and prohibit development in sustainable locations;</p> <p>2. Not 'effective' as it has been applied extensively</p> | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|-------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | | <p>and not just to areas of 'particular local significance';</p> <p>3. Not 'justified' as contact with landowners at an early stage in planning process to designate their land as LGS has not been undertaken - consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties;</p> <p>4. Not 'consistent with national policy' as proposed allocation does not meet LGS assessment criteria of paragraph 77 of NPPF or accord with paragraph 76 of NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives.</p> | |
| <p>NH/12-038 - Land South of Barton Rd</p> <p>Comberton</p> <p>Green areas north and south of Barton Road.</p> | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. Part of site is existing PVAA and part of site is within the Green Belt. North side of Barton Rd is within the Green Belt with an important countryside frontage protecting views across the green space northwards. The south side is within a PVAA. Both come within the Conservation Area for the village. The areas provide a valuable green rural character to the village. | Only south side of road meets the tests for LGS. North side of road is within Green Belt therefore not designate as LGS and not include in local plan. | No representations | <p><u>Individual respondent</u></p> <p>Rep 64905</p> <p>Object</p> <p>Amend boundary</p> <p>Object to inclusion of respondent's land within designation. Propose site boundary is amended.</p> <p>Reason for objection:</p> <p>1. Land is garden of 36 Barton Road, Comberton. Does not meet criteria in that it holds no particular local significance in terms of its beauty, historical significance, recreational value, tranquillity or its wildlife. The land cannot be accessed or viewed by</p> | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | | | <p>the local community from any public access point.</p> <p>2. Land is already protected as its within curtilage of No 36 Barton Road, a Grade II listed building and the conservation area.</p> | |
| | | | | | <p><u>Individual respondents</u></p> <p>Rep 64952 Object to boundaries as currently proposed for LGS</p> <p>Amend boundary</p> <p>Or have all of existing PVAA as LGS.</p> <p>Or leave as a PVAA.</p> <p>1. Give max LGS protection to all fields/meadows and orchards and open areas within the currently adopted PVAA for the wildlife, particularly barn owls, kestrels and badgers.</p> <p>2. Amend south east corner of proposed LGS so that brown field bits are removed and some of the omitted green and wooded bits are included (if the LGS idea is to be used at all).</p> <p>3. More sound protection provided in practice if one leaves adopted PVAA as is. But better to afford all the Comberton PVAA fields meadows and orchards and open areas - LGS status.</p> | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| NH/12- 049 - Recreation Ground and Playing Fields Cottenham | This is an extensive area of open space which includes the village recreation ground with pitches for football and cricket, plus a bowling green as well as allotments. It has a variety of uses which are of value to the local community. It is outside of the village framework. Site meets test for LGS only. | Support: 3 Object: 0 Comment: 1 | Playing fields relating to schools are not being designated for LGS and therefore part of the site is not included in local plan as LGS. The rest of the site meets the tests for LGS. | No representations | <u>Cambridgeshire County Council</u> Rep 64933 Object Amend boundary Objection relates to part of proposed designation area which is not considered to comply with tests set out in NPPF. Objection site forms an extension to recreation ground, is poorly drained, not well related to existing recreation ground and currently underused by community. CCC lease site to Parish Council. Lease requires that should area be used by CCC for other purposes an equivalent area in close proximity to recreation ground would be provided by CCC. Any residential scheme on adjacent land could accommodate this and provide a better overall solution. LGS designation may preclude such a consideration. Site is featureless open area of land that is not demonstrably special to the local community. Only value is for recreation and it is currently underused. | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| Morgans 1, 2,3 and 4 NH/12 – 050 Land in front of Village College Cottenham | These are areas of open space at the entrance to the village college. They provide a setting to this entrance with well established trees. This area is already a PVAA. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS. | | Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be included in the local plan as LGS. | No representations | <u>Individual respondent</u> Rep 64952 Object Amend boundary Respondent's only comment is that as far as he can tell the reference area referred to above which relates mainly to land adjoining Cottenham Village | This site includes part of the front garden of a house adjacent to Cottenham Village College. The owner has requested that the boundary of the site be amended to exclude this residential land. The residential property has a different character to the adjoining open green area in front of the village college. It forms one of a row of residential properties. A minor change to |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | | College appears possibly to include part of his front garden. There has been a hedge defining garden boundary for at least 20 years so Council's plans may pre date that. Front garden has a driveway and some lawn behind the hedge. Not sure that it is relevant to the local green space designation. | exclude this and adjoining front gardens would better reflect the area of special character and would not undermine the protection of this wider area. Recommendation: Amend the boundary of the Village College, Cottenham LGS to exclude the front gardens of adjacent residential properties. See Map 2 showing the revised boundary. |
| NH/12-055 – Greenacres, Duxford | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. Area of mown grass with scattered trees within a housing estate. Area for informal recreation use so valued by local community. Meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | Support for LGS from 9 respondents. Village already short of green areas. Popular safe play area in cul-de-sac – can be viewed by parents. Alternative play area requires crossing busy road, blind junction. Valued by local residents – LGS preserves open, pleasing aspect to area – character noted recently by planning inspector. Venue for annual street BBQ – helps bring community together. | <u>Individual respondent</u> Rep 64914 Object Do not designate as LGS Site does not meet any of 5 tests set out in NPPF for Local Green Space. Parish Council claim land is special to local community - open nature and recreational value. Site is area of left over grassed highway verge adjacent to road in middle of housing estate - not beautiful by normal standard, no historic interest, not tranquil, not wildlife rich. Land only available for recreation with permission of landowner - now withdrawn. Land to be fenced off. Site better suited to meeting the main focus of the NPPF of providing increased housing numbers and sustainable development | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12 - 056 End of Mangers Lane Duxford | Site is within the village framework adjacent to Duxford Primary school and playing fields. Is within Conservation Area. Appears not to be accessible to the public. Fields with trees can be seen from Green St to the east. Enclosed area with well-established trees which adds to the | | Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be included in the local plan as LGS. | Objection to designation by individuals - should remove designation of PVAA as no longer meets criteria. Replace with more flexible and responsive community use allocation / designation (for allotments / orchard / affordable housing) to serve local community | <u>Individual respondent</u> Rep 64973 Object Amend boundary Remove College Farm area. Reasons for objection: | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| | character of this part of the village. Respondent who wishes the existing PVAA designation to be removed states that within the site lie two derelict former barns which are beyond functional use. Entire site has overgrown and has unkempt appearance which detracts from character and appearance of PVAA and wider Conservation Area. No longer satisfies the set criteria for PVAAs according to the respondent. This should be retained as a PVAA. It meets the test for a LGS. | | | and village. Assessment by Council All the sites where representations have been submitted were previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that these site designations should remain in the plan. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Inclusion of site as LGS not following robust assessment of site. Previously designated as PVAA - does not mean it meets criteria for LGS. 2. Concerned that landowners had received no notification of proposed designation. 3. Site enclosed area, not accessible to public. Not visible from public domain therefore does not contribute to village character. No community role. No recreational value. No significant wildlife. Extensive tract of land - not suitable as LGS. Some parts may be suitable but not northern section owned by respondent - College Farm. This should not be LGS. 4. Site within conservation area which will protect its character and appearance. | the plan. |
| | | | | | <u>Individual respondent</u> Rep 64975 Object Amend boundary No not include private garden areas in LGS Site within conservation area - does not need further constraint. Comprises of 2 paddocks and 2 garden areas enclosed by fences. Accept paddocks have only | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | | <p>limited development potential due to access constraints.</p> <p>Have been assured that LGS designation would not allow public right of access onto the land or use of compulsory purchase of site. On that understanding do not object to LGS on paddock areas but do strongly object to gardens being included.</p> <p>Both areas in full use as garden for adjoining houses. Delineation includes front portion of respondent's house. Adjoining and neighbouring gardens not designated as LGS - expect to be treated likewise.</p> <p>Site not visible from public road or footpath - do not think site has been properly visited and assessed before designation.</p> | |
| NH/12-057 – Allotments Elsworth | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. Valued community asset providing recreational use for village. Meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>Davison & Sons (Great Barford) Ltd</u></p> <p>Rep 64911 Object Do not designate as LGS</p> <p>Designation is not justified and Council has been inconsistent in approach to assessing recreational open space and consequently LGS. Site privately owned and no mechanism to secure use for public.</p> <p>Relevant draft policy in Local Plan (NH/12) does not accord with NPPF. Assessment of site's quality as allotments is not reflective of its circumstances. Alternative policy - SC/9 would provide adequate protection of allotment from development.</p> | The site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | | | LGS designation will not secure allotments, indeed there is a distinct risk that their use for these purposes may be significantly curtailed altogether. | |
| NH/12-060 - Glebe Field, Elsworth | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Field adjacent to the local church surrounded by mature trees. Brings green treed area to within the village adding rural character. Meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>Ely Diocesan Board of Finance</u></p> <p>Rep 64927 Object Do not designate as LGS</p> <p>Site should only be designated if it passes tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Site is valued for its mature trees which are already statutorily protected. Council has not demonstrated that site is special and holds a particular local significance apart from trees which could be retained with a sensitive development proposal. 2. Eight sites have been identified within village amounting to an extensive overall reduction in potential for bringing forward of sustainable development, contrary to NPPF. | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12-062 - Field between Brockley Road and Brook Street Elsworth | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. This is grassland on the southern edge of the village with a mature hedgerow following the western boundary. Views across the site towards scattered properties in the village are protected by an important countryside frontage along Brockley Road. Many of these properties are listed and therefore this grassland | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>Individual respondents</u></p> <p>Rep 64896 Object Amend boundary</p> <p>Owners of land believe that there is an opportunity to provide a large element of green space on the site whilst integrating it with a sustainable development of open market and affordable housing -</p> | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | provides a rural setting to them. This creates a rural entrance to the village from the south. Meets the tests for LGS. | | | More detailed information is available on the proposed scheme. | |
| NH/12 - 063 Land at south end of Brook Street, Elsworth | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. Adjacent to Brockley End Meadow County Wildlife site. This is a wooded area with the village brook running through it with a bridge. It provides a tranquil area and has wildlife value. Meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>Individual respondent</u></p> <p>Rep 64974 Object Do not designate as LGS</p> <p>Reason for objection:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No robust assessment of LGS against criteria in para 77 of NPPF. Site does not meet criteria for LGS - no public access; vacant and unkempt site; not special to local community - not previously designated as PVAA; Valued as next to County Wildlife site - no significant wildlife on site. Limited evidence as to why LGS. 2. Concerned that no formal notification of proposed designation. 3. Site within conservation area which will protect its appearance and character. | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12 – 065 – Village Green Eltisley | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. The village green in Eltisley is an important key green feature within the village recognised by already being identified as a PVAA. It provides a setting for the buildings in the centre of the village which include listed buildings. Meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>Eltisley Parish Council</u></p> <p>Rep 64971 Object Amend boundary</p> <p>Support the designation of village green as a LGS but wish to point out that designated area must match original land as mapped in the enclosure award of 17/3/1864.</p> | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12-068 - Paddock, Ditton Lane junction with | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. The western edge of the site has an important countryside frontage along its length in the | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>RM Francis Will Trust</u></p> <p>Rep 64926 Object</p> | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| High Ditch Road Fen Ditton | | Cambridge East Area Action Plan protecting views out across the site towards properties and gardens and beyond to the wider open countryside. Area of pastureland which is a green space which brings countryside into village and enhances rural character of this part of Fen Ditton. Meets the tests for LGS. | | | Do not designate as LGS Site should only be designated if passes tests set out in para 77 of NPPF. Site fails second test as it has not been shown to be demonstrably special and of particular local significance. Concept of bringing countryside into village to enhance rural character is not considered demonstrably special due to the existing scale of the village and its already strong rural character. Views of site are limited. Views of properties and gardens are not considered special. Views out towards open countryside are restricted by mature trees and views are already protected by Importance Countryside Frontage designation. Designation will preclude any consideration of a sensitively designed scheme for sustainable housing development. | assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/070 - Recreation Ground Foxton | Recreation ground in the middle of the village outside of the village framework so could not be considered as a PVAA. To the north of the site is a grade I listed church which overlooks the recreation ground with views across the green space to open countryside. Housing overlooks the green space on two sides. The local character of this part of the village would be protected if this area were designated as LGS. | Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <u>Cambridgeshire County Council</u> Rep 64969 Object Amend boundary to exclude allotment area from LGS. Site should only be designated if it passes the tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF Objection to part of site being designated Site used as allotment land -this has not been demonstrated by District Council to be special to local community or to hold a particular local significance. | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | | | <p>Site forms part of an extensive tract of land of different character areas that have not been assessed for their individual contributions to local community.</p> <p>Site fails to comply with second and third tests set out in NPPF.</p> <p>Designation will risk harming future delivery of sustainable housing development on adjacent land contrary to aims of enabling sustainable development set out in NPPF.</p> | |
| NH/12-073 - Green Area on Station Road, Foxton | <p>This is a wide grass verge following the western side of Station Road. It has some trees within it creating a rural character to this stretch of road. As it is beside a road it would not have a recreational value or be tranquil. It is within the village framework. The Council does not consider that it meets the criteria for either a PVAA or LGS</p> | <p>Support: 2 Object: 1 Comment: 0</p> <p>Foxton Parish Council confirm their and local support for this site. It adds character to this area of the village and is setting of two listed buildings. A recent planning application was refused on the grounds that this open green space was an important part of the village.</p> <p>An objection was received from landowner of site to rear of properties in Station Rd (SHLAA site 233) to having a parish council proposed important green space since this is not consistent with NPPF or the Council's approach. Site does add to the setting of two listed buildings in Station Rd and to the rural character of this part of Foxton.</p> <p>Meets the tests for LGS.</p> | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>Goreway Holdings Limited c/o Endurance Estates Limited</u></p> <p>Rep 64950 Object Do not designate as LGS</p> <p>This wide roadside verge does not hold any recreational value for community and would be unsafe to use. Does not provide tranquil oasis due to its proximity to Station Road. No evidence that significant wildlife is present. Statement from Parish Council does not demonstrate land is demonstrably special to local community and no evidence has been submitted. Council's assessment in 2012 concluded land did not meet criteria of Local Green Space. From Council's Submission documents designation is based on Parish Council's recommendations, which were limited and vague. To apply LGS designation to roadside verge undermines criteria of LGS. Applying designation to one roadside verge suggests it could be applied to</p> | <p>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</p> |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | many, which is not intention of Paragraph 77 of the NPPF. Proposed designation is unsound and should be removed. | |
| NH/12-074 - Field between Cox's Drove, Cow Lane and Land adjacent the Horse Pond Fulbourn | The site is located on the northern edge of Fulbourn south of the railway line from Cambridge to Ipswich. The site comprises of two enclosed fields and is adjacent to Green Belt land. This site was submitted during the Call for Sites as part of the SHLAA (Site 162). The site was assessed and was found to have limited development opportunities. Two existing PVAA's adjoin the southern boundary one of which includes the Horse Pond. The site is outside of the village framework and therefore cannot be considered as a PVAA. The respondents have stated that the area is used by many residents for recreation, dog walking, toddler walking etc. and is a green space that is widely used and appreciated. Site meets test for only LGS. | Support: 60 Object: 2 Comment: 1 Objection from owner of land to LGS. Site is neither available for open space nor capable of delivery of such purposes. The land is entirely within private ownership and does not benefit from any form of public access. Lots of support for the option. Fulbourn Parish Council supports this as the Parish Plan calls for the village's setting and best landscapes and views to be preserved. LGS does not have to be accessible to the local community to be considered special to them in providing a rural setting to their village. Site meets test for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | Fulbourn PC – support LGS policy as it protects intrinsic character of village and surrounding countryside. Support designation from Fulbourn Forum for Community Action and 24 individuals. Haven for local wildlife. Important green space for village. Field enhances setting and appearance of this part of village – brings countryside into heart of village. Contributes to retaining rural character. As village has expanded in recent years important to preserve character and ambience of village. Objection that site does not meet criteria for LGS by Castlefield International Ltd. No public access / private land – therefore any public activity on land represents trespass. Need for sixth criteria for assessing sites – whether they are deliverable as LGS – this site is not. Not put forward by Parish Council even though they made comprehensive represents to S Cambs therefore not worthy of designation. If site to be secured as long term green space would need support of PC. Priority in South Cambs is for housing land, sustainable site for allocation - complies with NPPF. Remove designation. Assessment by Council All the sites where representations have been submitted were previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for | Castleford International Ltd Rep 64958 Object Do not designate as LGS Reasons for objection: 1. Not 'positively prepared' as seeks to sterilise a site which clearly has development potential. 2. Not 'effective' as it would be contrary to designate this site as a LGS given that SCDC do not currently have a 5 year land supply and development should be directed to the most sustainable settlements. 3. Not 'justified' as consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties. Question why site now proposed as LGS when never been incorporated within Green Belt, or had any other special protection in current Plan. 4. Not 'consistent with national policy' as it does not meet LGS criteria of para 77 of NPPF or accord with para 76 of NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives. | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|-------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that these site designations should remain in the plan. | | |
| NH/12-075 - Victorian Garden, Fulbourn | N/A | Submitted by Fulbourn Forum for community action and others. Existing PVAA. This area has within it the Old Pumping Station. A garden was designed in 1891 and contains pond which was originally used to cool condensed steam from the engines. The site is not open to the public. The boundary with Cow Lane has mature trees. The presence of the pumping station and related garden give this area a historic value to the local community. Meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | Support designation from Fulbourn Forum for community action and 16 individuals. Area valued by local community – has both historic and recreational value. Landscape value – where springs emerge in village. Countryside penetrating into village, contributes to rural village character. | <p><u>Individual respondents</u></p> <p>Rep 64907 Object Amend boundary</p> <p>Leg of site extending east across frontage of private property is not part of adjacent Victorian Garden. Maps accompanying PVAA and LGS documents are inconsistent, lacking definition as to extent of land to be designated. Potential designated area includes paved access roads and parking areas. Local community may not know that land now has no connection to the Victorian Garden and therefore respondents request that it is deleted from designated area.</p> <p>Alternatively, request the designation be limited to a 10m deep strip north from the property's southern site boundary with Cow Lane extending eastwards from Victorian Garden only as far as the western side of existing property main access road.</p> | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| | | | | | <p><u>Castleford International Ltd</u></p> <p>Rep 64959 Object Do not designate as LGS</p> <p>Reason for objection:</p> | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not 'positively prepared' as seeks to sterilise a site which clearly has development potential and is capable of coming forward during SCDC five year period under assessment (2014-2019) 2. Not 'effective' as site is already designated as Conservation Area and not afforded any other special protection in Local Plan. 3. Not 'justified' as consultation process has not allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties. 4. Not 'consistent with national policy' as it does not meet LGS criteria of para 77 or accord with para 76 of NPPF which denotes designations should be consistent with sustainable development objectives. | the plan. |
| Dennis Green, The Cinques, Mill Hill, Little Heath, The Heath Gamlingay | The Parish Council would like to protect the particular settlement pattern that Gamlingay has with its numerous outlying hamlets namely Dennis Green, The Cinques, Mill Hill, Little Heath, and The Heath. The outlying hamlets are outside of the village framework of Gamlingay and there would need to be extensive coverage of LGS if it were to be used to protect the special local character of Gamlingay and its hamlets. Neither designation is appropriate. Site does not meet test for either PVAA and LGS. | Support: 2 Object: 1 Comment: 0 Resubmitted during 2013 consultation with specific areas identified. | See new sites assessment in Gamlingay 2013. (LGS62 – LGS64) | | | |
| NH/12-076 - Lupin Field, | A large rough grassy area with well-established trees along north-eastern edge beside Greenacres. It would | Resubmitted by Parish Council. Assessed in earlier consultation and with the information | Include in local plan as LGS. | Support for LGS designation from Gamlingay PC and 54 individuals – preserves openness, beauty, | <u>Merton College</u> Rep 64951 Object | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---------------------------|---|--|-----------------------|---|---|---|
| Gamlingay | have some wildlife values. It is on the western edge of Gamlingay village outside of the village framework. It does not appear to have any distinguishing features to it to be identified as LGS. The Parish Council has mentioned this field in their submission relating to wanting to preserve the special character Gamlingay has with its nearby hamlets (Representation 33539). According to the respondent this area is demonstrably special to the village. Site does not meet test for either PVAA or LGS. | available at that time it was not considered that it met the tests for either PVAA or LGS. Further information is now available from the Parish Council in which they stress the value the local community place upon the site for its beauty, tranquillity and richness of wildlife. It is seen as a green lung providing a buffer between Gamlingay and Dennis Green. It has high recreational value since it is close to an area of housing with few green spaces. Meets the tests for LGS. | | <p>tranquillity and richness of wildlife for residents on west side of village. Valued by local community. Should not be developed. Focal point of village especially when lupins flower in summer. Limited opportunity and access to open space on this part of village. Suggest part of Merton Field should be fenced off as play area. Field marks boundary between edge of settlement and Hamlet of Dennis Green – natural boundary.</p> <p>An objection to LGS from Merton College as site does not meet criteria for designation as LGS. Council misguided in designating it as LGS. NPPF states blanket designation of open countryside adjacent to settlements is not appropriate + Landowner does not believe they have been properly consulted – plan fails legal compliance. No public right of access. Limited historic or wildlife value. Reaction from community to planning application on site. Designation barrier to future development.</p> <p>Assessment by Council All the sites where representations have been submitted were previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances</p> | <p>Do not designate</p> <p>Reasons for objection</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The land lies outside of the Village Framework. 2. It is not an area of beauty, comprising a scrub area and open grazing land that is indistinguishable from other areas around the village. 3. There has been no identification of any of the College land being of ecological value 4. It is not of known historic significance or tranquillity. 5. Only part of the land serves any recreational use and this is only on a temporary arrangement. 6. It is not demonstrably special to the local community. <p>Proposed allocation is considered inappropriate, unnecessary and contrary to NPPF, as such it is considered not sound. This is reflected in fact that when initially requested to designate area as a Local Green Space officers concluded 'Site does not meet test for either PVAA or LGS' and in absence of any change in circumstances this remains the case.</p> | assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|-------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | have changed the Council remains of the opinion that these site designations should remain in the plan. | | |
| NH/12-079 - The Craft, Guilden Morden | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This area is pastureland bringing land with a rural character into the heart of the village. It is managed under a Countryside Stewardship scheme – part of a Natural England project. The aim of such schemes is ‘to improve the natural beauty and diversity of the countryside, enhance, restore and re-create targeted landscapes, their wildlife habitats and historical features, and to improve opportunities for public access’. The parish council has indicated that this is an important area for wildlife and for the community to access green space. Meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>Ely Diocesan Board of Finance</u></p> <p>Rep 64928 Object Do not designate as LGS</p> <p>Site should only be designated if it passes tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF</p> <p>Site is an extensive tract of open rough grassland of no particular character.</p> <p>It is not considered to have been demonstrated to be special to local community and to hold a particular local significance.</p> <p>Site fails the second and third tests set out in NPPF.</p> <p>Designation will preclude consideration of any sensitively designed scheme for sustainable housing development contrary to the aims of enabling sustainable development set out within NPPF.</p> | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12-080 - Church Meadow Guilden Morden | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. This area is pastureland bringing land with a rural character into the heart of the village adjacent to the church. It is managed under a Countryside Stewardship – part of a Natural England project. The aims of such schemes are ‘to improve the natural beauty and diversity of the countryside, enhance, restore and re-create targeted landscapes, their wildlife habitats and historical | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>Ely Diocesan Board of Finance</u></p> <p>Rep 64929 Object Do not designate as LGS</p> <p>Site is a largely enclosed featureless area of open rough grassland of no particular character. Significant areas of open land exist in vicinity that are more readily visible from built up area and already bring a rural character to village.</p> | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | features, and to improve opportunities for public access'. The parish council has indicated that this is an important area for wildlife and for the community to access green space. Meets the tests for LGS. | | | <p>It is not considered to have been demonstrated to be special to the local community and to hold a particular local significance.</p> <p>The site fails the second test set out in the NPPF.</p> <p>The designation will preclude consideration of any sensitively designed scheme within the context of the setting of the church for sustainable housing development contrary to the aims of enabling sustainable development set out within the NPPF</p> | |
| NH/12 081 Land between Swan Lane and Pound Green Guilden Morden | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. This is an area of pasture on the western edge of the village with a public footpath running along the northern boundary. It is within the Conservation Area and provides a countryside setting for adjoining houses – some of which are listed buildings. Meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>FW Pepper Ltd</u></p> <p>Rep 64854 Object Do not designate to LGS</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Field is agricultural 2. No amenity value. 3. No footpath on site. 4. Not visible from the road. 5. No historical significance. 6. No recreational value, no tranquillity (Agricultural), no richness of wildlife. 7. Not demonstrably special to local community. | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12-087 - Wellhouse Meadow, Haslingfield | This is an area of open space within the centre of Haslingfield which is already within a PVAA. The Parish Council has planted an orchard with local varieties of fruit trees. A wildflower meadow is being established close to the orchard. The site is valued by the local community. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS. | N/A | Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be included in the local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>Individual respondents</u></p> <p>Rep 64923 Object Amend boundary</p> <p>Reasons for objection</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Site boundary of Wellhouse Meadow is incorrect in including private lands. 2. The respondent's land | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | | <p>already doubly protected by listed building status and conservation area designation and to include their private lands in a LGS is contrary to Council's document Draft Final Sustainability Appraisal (March 2014), Annex A - Audit Trail, Appendix 5, page A1391.</p> <p>3. Respondents did not know this designation was being made until November 2014 when their neighbours first received their notification. Note it was March 2014 that SCDC was told to advise ALL landowners but it was not until November that they were advised. Have owned this land since 1974.</p> | |
| | | | | | <p><u>Individual respondents</u></p> <p>Rep 64924 Object Amend boundary</p> <p>Reason for objection</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Unnecessary to add another designation when conservation area and PVAA already apply to land and seem to hold enough restrictions to development to satisfy your aims. 2. Please note Council's statements in Draft Final Sustainability Appraisal Annex A, Appendix 5, page A1390 shows ".... a second opportunity for consultation in 2013" and | <p>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</p> |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | <p>still no direct contact with them. Unaware of earlier designation as PVAA.</p> <p>3. Also on page A1391, "There are policies that give existing protection to green space within the Local Plan and it is not intention of council to double protect such sites by identifying them as LGS."</p> | |
| | | | | | <p><u>Ely Diocesan Board of Finance</u></p> <p>Rep 64930 Object Amend boundary</p> <p>The objection relates to part of site only, to south of Broad Lane. Reason for objection</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Objection site is residential land sitting behind 2m high wall. Not open in character and is distinct from orchard and meadowland. 2. Site is set in area of some historic significance, but not demonstrably special to local community and more historically significant sites lie adjacent that are not included. 3. Objection site forms part of tract of land of different character areas that have not been assessed for their individual contributions to local community. 4. Site fails to comply with | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | | | <p>second and third tests set out in NPPF.</p> <p>5. Designation will preclude consideration of any sensitively designed scheme for sustainable housing development.</p> | |
| NH/12-094 - Village Orchard Kingston | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Grassy area with fruit trees within it with well-established hedge around it providing a tranquil area and is likely to have high wildlife value. This orchard is valued by the local community and used for community events. Meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>Kingston Parish Council</u></p> <p>Rep 64888 Object Amend boundary</p> <p>Boundary amendment of site NH/12-094 requested to exclude a private house and garden which was included in error in the Parish Council's original submission.</p> | <p>Kingston Parish Council submitted this site for inclusion as a LGS during the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013. The map provided included an error. The northern boundary of the 'Village Orchard' was defined to include a private house and garden which lies immediately to north of the orchard. The owner of the house and garden has objected to its inclusion in the LGS. This property has never been part of the 'Village Orchard' and the Parish Council has submitted a representation requesting that this house and garden be excluded from the designation. An amendment to the boundary is appropriate to correct this error.</p> <p>Recommendation: Amend the Village Orchard, Kingston LGS to exclude the private house and garden adjacent to the "Village Orchard". See Map 3 showing the revised boundary.</p> |
| | | | | | <p><u>Individual respondents</u></p> <p>Rep 64895 Object Amend boundary</p> <p>Error made by Parish Council when Northern boundary of 'Village Orchard' was defined and respondents' property which lies immediately to north of the site has never been part of 'Village Orchard'. Fully support proposal for Local Green Space provided boundary is redrawn according to actual boundary of 'Village Orchard'.</p> | |
| NH/12 – Glebe Land, Linton | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. This area is by the river and used by the local community for informal recreation. It is a tranquil area for quiet enjoyment of the river. This land forms part of a much larger PVAA. Meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | No representation was made, during consultation but Council made aware that this site had been identified incorrectly on the Polices Map. Linton Parish Council, who originally submitted the site, has provided Council with correct boundary for site. | Although no representation was made by the landowner, the Council was made aware during the consultation that this site in Linton had been identified incorrectly on the Polices Map. Linton Parish Council originally submitted the site for consideration during the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013, described as an area by the river and used by the local community for |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | <p>informal recreation. However, the boundary provided by the Parish Council and shown on the submitted Policies Map comprises a private house and garden, and not the adjacent area of special character alongside the river, which is shown on the Policies Map as forming part of a wider PVAA. The Parish Council has provided the Council with the boundary it intended for the site. This involves deleting the current LGS boundary in its entirety and instead designating the area to the west that lies adjacent to the river as LGS. The current PVAA designation would then be removed from the new LGS.</p> <p>Recommendation: Delete the existing boundary of the Glebe Land, Linton LGS. Replace with the correct LGS area adjacent to the river and remove the PVAA designation from the LGS. See Map 4 showing correct area.</p> |
| <p>NH/12-102 Scout camp site, Church Lane</p> <p>Little Abington</p> | <p>This site is to the south of Little Abington. There is a scout hut and extensive open land – a mix of grassland and trees stretching southwards to the River Granta. The site is private but used by local scouts so has an amenity value for the village. The wooded character of the site by the river provides a tranquil beauty spot with wildlife value for the local community.</p> <p>The vast majority of the site is outside of the village framework and therefore could not be considered as a PVAA. The site had been put forward as a potential site for housing during the 'Issues and Options 1' consultation. Site meets test for only LGS.</p> | <p>Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0</p> <p>Site meets tests for LGS. The boundary to be revised from that in Issues and Options 2 consultation to remove the part of the site with planning permission for bungalows.</p> | <p>Include in local plan as LGS.</p> | <p>Support from Little Abington PC and others. Recognises importance of site.</p> | <p><u>Abington Woods CIC</u></p> <p>Rep 64895 Object Amend boundary</p> <p>Site has an existing planning permission which is valid from August 2012 for 3yrs. Only 2/3rds of site lies within a conservation area. Given the existence of the planning permission it seems sensible for Local Green Space only to cover that part of site that is already in conservation area.</p> | <p>Conservation area and LGS boundaries do not have to follow each other.</p> <p>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</p> |
| <p>NH/12-104 - Meadows, Bancroft Farm</p> | <p>The site was submitted during the Call for Sites for the SHLAA (Sites 28 and 29). The site is within the heart of the village and comprises of a field</p> | <p>N/A</p> | <p>Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can</p> | <p>Bancroft Farm, Church Lane (SHLAA site 28) - Objection from both Great and Little Abington PCs and Committee for Abington</p> | <p><u>Individual respondent</u></p> <p>Rep 64925 Object</p> | <p>This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the</p> |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Little Abington | and on its western side are the former farm buildings which were part of Bancroft Farm. To the north, east and south the site is enclosed by residential. When assessed as a housing site it was concluded that the site has no development potential. Development of this site would have a significant adverse effect on the townscape and landscape setting of Little Abington because the site has a distinctly rural character and would result in the loss of an open space within the village. If the farm buildings were removed the setting of Church Lane would lose its intimate rural backdrop. The identification of this area as a PVAA protects this undeveloped land and preserves the special local character of Little Abington. It continues to meet the criteria needed to be retained as a PVAA. It meets the test for a LGS. | | be included in the local plan as LGS. | Housing. Wrong designation of brownfield land and LGS should only apply to meadow. Old derelict farmyard previously not designated for protection. Reclassification would enable sensitive development within conservation area. Council assessment The site of Bancroft Farm in Little Abington is included within the Parish Councils' proposals for future housing to meet the needs of the village. The farm lies within a larger LGS, the rest of which is supported by the Parish Council. The local community has been consulted on this issue and the majority wish the farm site to be developed for housing. See proposed changes to Policy H1 in Chapter 7:Housing. The Council is therefore proposing a major modification to amend the Policies Map to delete the site of Bancroft Farm from the larger LGS site. Major modification Delete Bancroft Farm Church Lane Little Abington from a larger Local Green Space (see maps attached to the schedule of major modifications). | Do not designate as LGS Reason for objection 1. Not been demonstrated that proposed designation meets all criteria set out in NPPF for assessing LGS designations. 2. Note that nowhere does national policy suggest that a failure to meet policy requirements should be balanced against other considerations when designating LGS. Allocation of this area as LGS would almost certainly prevent part of site coming forward as a sustainable residential development opportunity within defined settlement boundary for village. | assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| Site E Recreational Green x 2, Russet Way NH/12-112 Recreational Green, Russet Way Melbourn | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. Two areas of grassland within a housing area. Mown grass with scattered mature trees upon them. Important area of informal open space providing a green space within a built up area. Meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <u>Individual respondent</u> Rep 64875 Object Suggest change of use. Object to any developments being built on site but would support designated parking lots for each house that owns part of the Local Green Space. | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12 - 115 - | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. | Include in | No representations | <u>Individual respondent</u> | This site was submitted for |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|-------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Stockbridge Meadows Melbourn | | This area is one that South Cambs DC included in its Biodiversity Strategy as being an open space of local importance. Meets the tests for LGS. | local plan as LGS. | | Rep 64873 Object Amend boundary Document outlines boundaries of 100 High Street, Melbourn and demonstrates that triangular parcel of land to rear of property is not part of Stockbridge Meadows | consideration as a LGS by Melbourn Parish Council in the Issues and Options 2 consultation in 2013. An objection has been received from the owner of a triangle of land included on the southern edge of the meadow. The purpose of this LGS is to identify and protect the Riverside Park. The site that received planning permission as a public open space in 2005 to form the Riverside Park is slightly different from the LGS shown on the Policies Map. It excluded the objector's triangle of land and included an additional small parcel of land on the north-eastern edge of the meadow. The Parish Council has confirmed that it supports a revised boundary to reflect the planning permission boundary. Recommendation: Amend the Stockbridge Meadows, Melbourn LGS boundary to exclude a triangle of land on the southern boundary and include an additional area to the north. See Map 5 showing revised boundary. |
| NH/12-128 - Glebe Field, behind St Andrews Church, Orwell | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. Sloping field that is part of the setting of the grade I listed church, grade II listed buildings on the High Street and the Conservation Area. A public footpath crosses the site. Meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <u>Ely Diocesan Board of Finance</u> Rep 64931 Object Do not designate as LGS Reason for objection 1. Site should only be designated if it passes tests set out in paragraph 77 of NPPF. Site is an area of open rough grassland of no particular character, crossed by a public footpath. It has not been demonstrated that the site | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | <p>is special and of particular local significance to the community. Site therefore fails the second of three tests.</p> <p>The site fails the third test as it is an extensive tract of land.</p> <p>2. The designation will preclude consideration of any sensitively designed scheme for sustainable housing development contrary to aims of enabling sustainable development set out within NPPF.</p> | |
| NH/12 - 130 - Station Road/Turn Lane, Over | The site is within the village framework and was previously identified as a PVAA. The Parish Council are requesting that it be reinstated as a PVAA. The views across the site towards the listed church would be protected if the site were to be designated as a PVAA or LGS. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS. | <p>Support: 0 Object: 7 Comment: 0</p> <p>Objection to land being considered as PVAA. No public access to site and no views of church. Does not meet criteria for PVAA or LGS. Agreed by Inspector of Site Specific DPD in Sept 2009 (Rep 50810).</p> <p>Objection from landowners. This site does not contribute to amenity and character of this part of village. As it stands it is of no value to village – overgrown. Development of site best option for village to provide for affordable housing.</p> <p>Planning Appeal inspector (2013) considered that this site forms part of the setting of the Grade I church and Conservation Area therefore re-affirms that it meets the tests for LGS.</p> | Include in local plan as LGS. | <p>Objection to LGS from individual. Must be demonstrably special. Afforded more weight as submitted by Parish Council. Rejected by inspector in 2006 – little changed. PC not justified why site special. Site fails assessment. Long term protection important but not at expense of potential future growth of village and development that could result in better management of site.</p> <p>Council assessment All the sites where representations have been submitted were previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that these site designations should remain in the plan.</p> | <p><u>Individual respondent</u></p> <p>Rep 64870 Object Do not designate as LGS</p> <p>Reason for objection</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Site privately owned. Does not hold any particular significance to local community. 2. No public access to site has ever existed. 3. Previous PVAA designation was removed in 2009 by an inspector who stated land does not contribute to amenity and character of village. Site has not changed since that time. 4. Parish Council's proposal to make this 'Local Green Space' flawed - site does not meet any of the criteria laid out in NPPF. 5. Not in Conservation Area. 6. Sensitively planned | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | development would enhance this part of village - no change to character. | |
| | | | | | <p><u>Individual respondent</u></p> <p>Rep 64871 Object Do not designate</p> <p>Reason for objection</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Site does not meet any of criteria laid out in the NPPF. Site does not and has never served the community. No richness of wildlife. 2. Over Parish Council never agreed or debated local plan submission and has since approved planning application on site. 3. More suitable 'Green Spaces' exist within village, (e.g. Village Green and Community Centre playing fields and skate park 4. High demand for affordable housing in village | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| | | | | | <p><u>Individual respondent</u></p> <p>Rep 64872 Object Do not designate</p> <p>Reason for objection</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Area not special to community. Question method used by Parish Council in their submission as to why area is special as it is in private | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| | | | | | <p>ownership with no access and is covered in shrub.</p> <p>2. PVAA was lifted from it in 2009. Inspector stated land does not contribute to amenity or character of village.</p> <p>3. Site is not an 'Area of Local Significance' as it does not meet any of the criteria for local green space.</p> | |
| | | | | | <p><u>Individual respondent</u></p> <p>Rep 64948 Object Do not designate</p> <p>Reason for objection</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Site is privately owned and has never had any particular significance to village as there has never been any public access. 2. Previous PVAA was placed in error in 1992 and removed in 2009. 3. Site is within village framework, is bounded on all sides by high hedges, covered in scrub and brambles affording no amenity to village. 4. Not in conservation area, so tasteful development would enhance site and improve this area while adding to village amenities without changing it's character. 5. Parish Council's proposal for site to be classed as LGS does not meet the criteria as laid out by NPPF. | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| NH/12 - 131 Land to the rear of The Lanes, Over | The site is a green space surrounded to north, east and south by residential. It provides an amenity for this part of Over. The identification of this area as a PVAA protects this undeveloped land and preserves the special local character of this part of Over. It continues to meet the criteria needed to be retained as a PVAA. It meets the test for a LGS. | N/A | Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be included in the local plan as LGS. | Objection to LGS by individual as does not meet criteria for designation. Site bounded by 2m high fence. Limited views / overgrown private land. No public access. No more tranquil than other nearby areas in village. No uncommon wildlife. Council assessment All the sites where representations have been submitted were previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that these site designations should remain in the plan. | <u>Individual respondents</u> Rep 64972 Object Do not designate as LGS Reason for objection 1. Concern that representation submitted in earlier consultation in 2013 - Rep 57527 was not included in evidence paper submitted to government in March 2014. 2. Site does not demonstrably meet criteria under NPPF para 77 - limited views of land for public and no public access; no visual impact on listed buildings; no historic significance; no recreational value; no more tranquil than other sites in village; no significant wildlife. 3. Site should have existing PVAA designation removed too | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12 – 132 Wood behind Pendragon Hill, Papworth Everard | Well established woodland area surrounded by housing which would have wildlife value. It is within the village framework. Appears to be an enclosed site. It brings local character to this part of Papworth. Site does meet test for either PVAA or LGS. | No representations | Include in local plan as LGS. | Papworth Everard PC strongly supports policy and its application to village. Valued by parishioners. Village characterised by housing separated by relatively large green | <u>The Papworth Trust</u> Rep 64954 Object Do not designate as LGS Land within Framework, which has 'run wild' over time. No evidence of either local support or 'richness' of wildlife value which the NPPF advice requires. As the Council's own studies | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | | established, the tests for LGS status are not met. | |
| NH/12-134 - Baron's Way Wood, Papworth Everard | A long strip of woodland following behind properties in Baron's Way. It has wildlife value. It adds to the rural character of the village. The entire site is within the village framework. Site meets test for PVAA and LGS. | No representations | Include in local plan as LGS. | Papworth Everard PC strongly supports policy and its application to village. Valued by parishioners. Village characterised by housing separated by relatively large green | <u>The Papworth Trust</u> Rep 64953 Object Do not designate as LGS Land within the Framework, which has 'run wild' over time. No evidence of either local support or 'richness' of wildlife value which the NPPF advice requires. | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12-135 - Rectory Woods Papworth Everard | An area of woodland part within and part outside of the village framework on the eastern edge of the village west of Chequers Lane and south of Old Pinewood Way. The woodland adjoins the Baron's Way Wood and has wildlife value and is part of a larger expanse of woodland to the south. There is public access and provides a tranquil location on the edge of residential areas. Site meets test for only LGS. | No representations | Include in local plan as LGS. | Papworth Everard PC strongly supports policy and its application to village. Valued by parishioners. Village characterised by housing separated by relatively large green | <u>Individual respondents</u> Rep 64887 Object Amend boundary The respondents' property is adjacent to NH/12-135. They do not own any part of it as mentioned in Council's letter. Would love green space to stay as it is. Supports an abundance of wildlife, including deer, fox, hedgehogs, squirrels and all sorts of birds and butterflies. | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12- 137 - Summer's Hill Open Space, Papworth Everard | Open space sloping up from bypass on the western side of village adjacent to the new housing development of Summer's Hill. This is an extensive area of open space outside of the village framework. The guidance in the NPPF does not support the identification of extensive areas of open space as LGS. Site does not meet test for either PVAA or LGS. | Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 Papworth Everard Parish Council Planning Committee has stated that this area is an integral part of development of 365 dwellings, makes it more sustainable, well related to village and new development, valuable recreation area for village and new development. It is not appropriate to identify the whole area as LGS but within the housing development there are pockets of green | Include pockets of green space and recreation areas: Northern entrance green; kickabout area, pond and play spaces and other greens in local plan as LGS. | Papworth Everard PC strongly supports policy and its application to village. Valued by parishioners. Village characterised by housing separated by relatively large green | <u>The Varrier Jones Foundation</u> Rep 64887 Object Do not designate as LGS Whilst this is land subject of a Section 106 Obligation (in relation to development of residential estate to its east), Council's own studies confirm that its extent is such as not to qualify for LGS status drawing on NPPF guidance. | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| | | space and recreation areas that would be appropriate to include. Northern entrance green; kickabout area, pond and play spaces and other greens. | | | | |
| NH/12-138 - Papworth Hall, Papworth Everard | This area is already within a PVAA. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS. | N/A | Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be included in the local plan as LGS. | Papworth Everard PC strongly supports policy and its application to village. Valued by parishioners. Village characterised by housing separated by relatively large green | <p><u>Individual respondents</u></p> <p>Rep 64903 Object Amend boundary</p> <p>No objection to Local Green Space Would like site to be separated from Papworth Hall as now private land – not one large LGS.</p> | <p>Designation of an area as LGS does not imply that there will be public access allowed to the site. Although this site is in two different ownerships the character of the site is not determined by its ownership.</p> <p>The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan.</p> |
| | | | | | <p><u>The Varrier Jones Foundation</u></p> <p>Rep 64955 Object Amend boundary</p> <p>This designation takes in (at least) two ownerships. So far as those parts owned by the objector are concerned, none meet the criteria for 'particular significance' cited in the NPPF and the Council's own studies. Thus the tests for LGS status are not met.</p> | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12-139 - Village Playing Field, Papworth Everard | This area is already within a PVAA and as playing fields has a recreational value to the local community. The woodland will have wildlife value. The site is already within a PVAA and meets the test for LGS. | N/A | Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can be included in the local plan as LGS. | Papworth Everard PC strongly supports policy and its application to village. Valued by parishioners. Village characterised by housing separated by relatively large green | <p><u>The Varrier Jones Foundation</u></p> <p>Rep 64956 Object Amend boundary</p> <p>Majority of site has local recreational function. Same not true of woodland strip along its northern edge. There is no evidence that this is the home for</p> | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | | the 'richness of wildlife' which the NPPF expects. Delete this strip. | |
| NH/12-141 - The Spike Playing Field, South Terrace, Sawston | This is an area of green space on the southern edge of Sawston outside of the village framework. The site is surrounded by well-established hedges and has housing to the north and east; and commercial uses to the south. It provides a pocket of green open space between urban uses. It has value for the local community for informal recreation. Site meets test for only LGS | Support: 40 Object: 3 Comment: 7 Objection from trustees as landowners, who would like to rent the site to generate income and site has limited access for the public. Objections to designation because it is removed from the village and is only used by dog walkers. Lots of support, including from Sawston Parish Council. This area, once used as a playing field, forms an important green space for residents at the southern end of Sawston. Meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | General support for all LGS in village. | <u>Sawston Church Institute</u> Rep 64906 Object Do not designate as LGS "Spike Field" is private land bequeathed over 100 years ago to Sawston Church/Institute. Trustees of the Towgood Charities are responsible for field, hence it is partially fenced off, apart from a few residents cars near entrance. This is not a recreational area for public. From time to time the respondent also have to fund pruning of trees, hedges etc, from overgrowth. It is not their intention to permit the public access to this private area. | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| | | | | | <u>The Towgoods' Charities of St Mary the Virgin Sawston</u> Rep 64915 Object Do not designate 1. Designated land is Charity Land in Trust. 2. Trustees are bound to ensure this area of land is used as set out in the Indenture dated 1903. 3. Trustees have insufficient income to insure themselves for local community access. 4. As Trustees cannot accept any public liability they will have to fence it off if necessary. | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12 - 143 Millennium Copse | Strip of green space north of Tannery Road. There are a number of young trees growing on the site – planted for | N/A | Existing PVAA. As it meets the test for LGS it can | General support for all LGS in village. | <u>John Huntingdon Charity</u> Rep 64882 Object | A representation was received from the owners of the western section of this site indicating that a nursery had been built on their land in 2000 and |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Sawston | millennium. As the trees grow there will be increased biodiversity value for the local community. The site appears to be enclosed behind hedges. This is already within a PVAA. The site meets the test for LGS. | | be included in the local plan as LGS. | | Amend boundary Part of this site is owned by the respondents, but has a nursery built on it back in 2000, so cannot be allocated as a green space. | therefore in their opinion could not be designated as LGS. The designated area incorrectly extends across the nursery site, beyond the wooded area of the Copse. It is appropriate to amend the western boundary to this area. Recommendation: Amend the Millennium Copse, Sawston LGS to exclude the nursery site. See Map 6 showing revised boundary. |
| NH/12-144 - Butlers Green, Sawston | N/A | Informal grass area surrounded by tall hedgerow/trees, with public access from Mill Lane. Part of the setting of the Conservation Area and provides a tranquil area or informal recreation use for the village. Meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | General support for all LGS in village. | <u>Individual respondent</u> Rep 64946 Object Do not designate Reason for objection: 1. No evidences exist that John Falkner School playing field has been a special site of historic importance or a specifically cherished site. 2. There are no evidences of shortage of green in this area. 3. Council did not find any evidence to convince them this land should be designated a public green. 4. Site was sold by Council for development only three years ago. 5. Any such a designation would harm potential for a sympathetic and much needed investment in and development of site. | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12-149 - Ransom Strip, Craft Way, Steeple Morden | This site is located outside of the village framework and therefore cannot be considered as a PVAA. It is a field with well-established area of trees at the western end of the site. This section of the site is within the | Support: 1 Object: 0 Comment: 0 | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <u>Individual respondent</u> Rep 64921 Object Amend boundary. Do not designate as LGS part of | The sites was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | Steeple Morden Conservation Area. There appears not to be public access to the site. To the south of the site are residential houses in Craft Way. A grade II listed building overlooks the site to the west. Site does meet test for LGS. | | | | <p>site owned by respondent</p> <p>Reasons for objection</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As privately owned land designating site as LGS will provide no benefit to public as they will have no legal access to it. 2. Village needs affordable housing and as this site is already adjacent to other local housing in Craft Way an ideal opportunity exists to extend services and amenities to this site. 3. If left undeveloped site will be at risk of being used by fly tippers and other unauthorised access. 4. Designation of this site as LGS will not meet objectives of NPPF as land is privately owned thereby barring local community from access to it. | Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12-157 The Spinney Thriplow | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Wooded area within the Conservation Area and protected by Tree Preservation Order. Enhances character of village and may have biodiversity value. Meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>Individual respondent</u></p> <p>Rep 64855 Object Do not designate as LGS</p> <p>Idea for green space site comes from one man who wished to cease mowing and tidying up his border to this track and wants to stop land being an entry and exit to Pegs Close. It is not used by members of the public for any reason whatsoever and if made LGS will be forced to remain so thereby shutting off access to Pegs Close.</p> | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12-158 - Open Land, Church Street | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. Existing PVAA. Open grass area within the Conservation Area and forms part of the setting of | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <p><u>Individual respondents</u></p> <p>Rep 64855 Object</p> | This site was previously assessed by the Council as meeting the tests for LGS and therefore unless new issues have been raised that affect the |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Thriplow | | Listed Buildings, including Grade II* Manor Farmhouse. Meets the tests for LGS. | | | Amend boundary The respondents own 3.5 strip on southern edge of site which is only access to land they own to south east of site. It is a vehicular access track which is regularly used, so LGS boundary should be amended to exclude that strip. | assessment or it has been shown that circumstances have changed the Council remains of the opinion that the site designation should remain in the plan. |
| NH/12-161 - Toft Recreation Ground Toft | The site is outside of the village framework and therefore cannot be considered as a PVAA. It is an area of grassland, the recreation ground for Toft and therefore has a recreational value for the village. Site meets test for only LGS. | Support: 2 Object: 0 Comment: 0 | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <u>Toft Parish Council</u> Rep 64908 Object Amend boundary Support inclusion of Recreation Ground as Local Green Space however the Community Land is not shown on map, and this should also be included in Local Plan as a LGS. | Extension of LGS in village not previously submitted. New sites cannot be considered as part of this consultation. |
| NH/12- 167 Barracks Frontage Waterbeach | N/A | Submitted by Parish Council. Triangular grassy area with trees at the entrance to Waterbeach Barracks, which is screened from Denny End Road by a hedge. It is part of the green setting of the entrance to the barracks and has in the past had an aircraft upon it. It is part of a larger grassed area that forms the entrance to Waterbeach Barracks. Meets the tests for LGS. | Include in local plan as LGS. | No representations | <u>Defence Infrastructure Organisation</u> Rep 64970 Object Not designate as LGS Object to proposed designation. There are positive opportunities to achieve sustainable pedestrian, cycling and public transport links between proposed Waterbeach New Town, Waterbeach and Cambridge. May require some highway re-alignment at Barracks frontage. Master planning process has begun and will fully incorporate objectives of achieving high quality and attractive green spaces in this location. In this context proposed designation could undermine sustainable development objectives of Submission Draft Local Plan, including Policy SS/5, and does not meet the criteria of | Defence Infrastructure Organisation objects to designation of the site, as it falls within the Area Action Plan area for the new town, and could be important for creating sustainable transport links. DIO says that the area should be considered as part of the wider masterplan. The LGS does lie within the area proposed to be covered by an Area Action Plan for the new town. On reflection, it is considered that the AAP process is the appropriate mechanism for deciding the future of land within its boundary and the LGS should be deleted from the Local Plan Policies Map. Recommendation: Delete the Barracks Frontage, Waterbeach LGS See Map 7 showing deleted site. |

| Site Location and Village | Council Assessment 2012 | Council Assessment 2013 including results of 2013 consultation if site included in consultation | Council Decision 2013 | Representations received in Proposed Submission Consultation 2013 + Council assessment 2014 from Audit Trail | Representation received during landowner consultation on LGS 2014. | Council Response 2015 with recommended change where appropriate. |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | | | the NPPF. | |